Q1 Please rate your OVERALL SATISFACTION WITH THE CONFERENCE

Answered: 162    Skipped: 0

- Very Satisfied: 95
- Satisfied: 61
- Neutral: 6
- Unsatisfied: 0
- Very Unsatisfied: 0
Q2 How would you rate the COST of

Answered: 162  Skipped: 0
Q3 How did you obtain funds to attend the conference? (you may choose more than one option)

Answered: 162  Skipped: 0

- Personal: 89
- Scholarship: 68
- Work/Business: 32
- Professor's/Supervisor's Grant: 26
- Other (please specify): 9
Q4 Approximately what percent of your total cost was supported by personal funds?

Answered: 161  Skipped: 1
Q5 Please rate the following aspects of the REGISTRATION PROCESS

Answered: 162  Skipped: 0

Meeting Announcement and Call for Abstracts
- Excellent: 93
- Good: 55
- Adequate: 4
- Poor: 6
- Unacceptable: 1
- N/A: 10

Online Registration Process
- Excellent: 97
- Good: 55
- Adequate: 1
- Poor: 3
- Unacceptable: 1
- N/A: 4

Online Abstract Submission Process
- Excellent: 57
- Good: 33
- Adequate: 3
- Poor: 12
- Unacceptable: 1
- N/A: 7

Availability of Preferred Format (Oral, Poster)
- Excellent: 72
- Good: 56
- Adequate: 7
- Poor: 26
- Unacceptable: 3
- N/A: 53
Q6 Please rate the following aspects of the SCIENTIFIC SESSIONS

Answered: 162  Skipped: 0

- Presentation Rooms: 75 Excellent, 60 Good, 67 Adequate, 70 Responding Promptly to Problems, 68 Room for Poster Display, 65 Time to View Posters
- Session Moderator: 85 Excellent, 60 Good, 19 Computer/Projection Technology, 19 Responding Promptly to Problems, 19 Room for Poster Display, 19 Time to View Posters
- Computer/Projection Technology: 60 Excellent, 22 Good, 15 Adequate, 3 Poor, 24 Responding Promptly to Problems, 24 Room for Poster Display, 24 Time to View Posters
- Responding Promptly to Problems: 73 Excellent, 67 Good, 70 Adequate, 52 Responding Promptly to Problems, 36 Room for Poster Display, 36 Time to View Posters
- Room for Poster Display: 68 Excellent, 59 Good, 24 Responding Promptly to Problems, 7 Room for Poster Display, 7 Time to View Posters
- Time to View Posters: 65 Excellent, 56 Good, 30 Responding Promptly to Problems, 4 Room for Poster Display, 4 Time to View Posters

Graph showing the distribution of ratings for each aspect.
Q7 Please rate the following aspects of the VENUE AND FOOD

Answered: 162  Skipped: 0
Q8 If you took part in a tour, please rate your satisfaction

Answered: 150  Skipped: 12

Very Satisfied  Satisfied  Neutral  Dissatisfied  Very Dissatisfied

Kayaking  Whale Watching  Winery

Very Satisfied: Kayaking = 12, Whale Watching = 20, Winery = 1
Satisfied: Kayaking = 2, Whale Watching = 4
Neutral: Winery = 1
Dissatisfied: Kayaking = 131, Whale Watching = 126
Very Dissatisfied: Winery = 143
Q10 I am a

Answered: 162  Skipped: 0
Q11 Overall, how was your experience at the Student Mixer?

Answered: 54   Skipped: 108
Q12 What would you like to see at future student socials at NASBR?

- Ice-breakers/games: 20
- Live music: 18
- Dancing: 16
- Other (please specify): 8

Answered: 40  Skipped: 122
Q13 Overall, how was your experience at the Lunch With a Mentor?

Answered: 53   Skipped: 109
Q14 How would you rate the following:

Answered: 53    Skipped: 109

- Notification of the student events: 21 Excellent, 3 Good, 1 Adequate, 8 Poor, 2 Unacceptable, 1 N/A
- Sign-up process for the Luncheon/Mentor availability from discipline: 18 Excellent, 7 Good, 8 Adequate, 2 Poor, 1 Unacceptable, 1 N/A
- Finding your mentor and student group for the Mentor you met with had sufficient experience: 27 Excellent, 4 Good, 1 Adequate, 1 N/A
Q16 Did you use the Roost-finder this year?

Answered: 53  Skipped: 109

- Yes: 3
- No: 50
Q18 Were the exhibitor facilities satisfactory?

Answered: 3  Skipped: 159

- 2 Very Satisfied
- 1 Satisfied
- 0 Neutral
- 1 Dissatisfied
- 0 Very Dissatisfied
Q19 Was the location of exhibitor tables satisfactory?

Answered: 3    Skipped: 159

- **Very Satisfied**: 2
- **Satisfied**: 1
- **Neutral**: 0
- **Dissatisfied**: 0
- **Very Dissatisfied**: 0
Q21 If you attended the Women in Science Breakfast, please rate the overall event.

Answered: 48  Skipped: 114

- Excellent: 28
- Good: 16
- Adequate: 2
- Poor: 2
- Unacceptable: 2
Q22 Would you be interested in attending events similar to the Women in Science Breakfast if they addressed issues related to inclusivity and diversity in science?

Answered: 121  Skipped: 41

- Yes: 91 votes
- No: 4 votes
- Maybe: 26 votes
Q23 As NASBR grows, the demands on the program space are increasing. This year we extended the evening sessions to accommodate more talks. If NASBR continues to grow we may need to change the program format. Which of the following is more important to you?

Answered: 157  Skipped: 5

- Not expanding the duration of the meeting to include sessions on Wednesday afternoon or Sunday morning: 69
- Keeping a maximum of two concurrent sessions: 88
Q24 The time between abstract submission and the creation of the program is currently quite short and making a program available well before the meeting is difficult. Would you be willing to consider an earlier abstract submission deadline for NASBR?

Answered: 151  Skipped: 11

- Yes: 83
- No: 23
- Maybe: 45
Q25 Would you be interested in participating as a mentor for the "Lunch with a Bat Biologist" activity at future NASBR meetings? (non-students only)

Answered: 111  Skipped: 51

- Yes: 44
- Maybe: 25
- No: 42
Q26 We are always in need of judges for the student award presentations. Would you be interested in being a judge next year? (non-students only)

Answered: 116  Skipped: 46

- Yes: 44
- Maybe: 32
- No: 40
Q27 Was this your first NASBR Conference?

Answered: 160   Skipped: 2

- Yes: 46
- No: 114
Q28 Do you plan to attend the 2016 NASBR in San Antonio, TX?

Answered: 160  Skipped: 2

- **Yes**: 81
- **No**: 18
- **Maybe**: 61
Q29 If there are pre- or post-conference tours for NASBR 2016, how likely are you to sign up for one?

Answered: 158  Skipped: 4

- Very Likely: 17
- Likely: 22
- Possibly: 64
- Not likely: 42
- Don't know yet: 13
Q30 Do you plan to attend the 2016 IBRC in Durban, South Africa?

Answered: 160   Skipped: 2

- Yes: 28
- No: 86
- Maybe: 46