

NASBR

Judging Form for Oral Presentations

Student Name:

Title:

Please rate the paper from 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent). These scores are intended to facilitate a discussion of each paper at the judges meeting and to permit the panel chair to summarize feedback for the presenter and NOT intended to be the sole criteria for evaluation and determination of awards.

I. Organization and Presentation (1 = poor; 5 = excellent)

1. [1 2 3 4 5] Did the Introduction provide a theoretical framework, rationale, and cite relevant studies?

Comments:

2. [1 2 3 4 5] Were the Methods explained adequately?

Comments:

3. [1 2 3 4 5] Were the Results succinct and include informative statistics, figures and tables?

Comments:

4. [1 2 3 4 5] Did the Discussion present results in the context of the Introduction?

Comments:

5. [1 2 3 4 5] Was the projected text readable and the vocal presentation clear?

Comments:

6. [1 2 3 4 5] Were graphs/illustrations easily interpreted and appropriate?

Comments:

7. [1 2 3 4 5] Did the demeanor reflect a professional attitude?

Comments:

2. Research Merit. CONTENT (1 = Poor; 5 = Excellent)

1. [1 2 3 4 5] Were adequate data collected to support or reject the hypothesis/rational

Comments:

2. [1 2 3 4 5] Does the research represent a significant contribution to new knowledge?

Comments:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (use back of sheet if necessary):